

IDPAS #670



## Fe(III)-EDTA complex as iron fortification<sup>1,2</sup>

Miguel Layrissa and Carlos Martínez-Torres

**ABSTRACT** Fe(III)-EDTA as iron fortification presents several advantages over the other iron salts previously used including ferrous sulfate. This iron compound exchange completely with vegetable food iron in the lumen of the gut but with the characteristics that the absorption from both, extrinsic and intrinsic food iron, is higher than that expected from other iron salts. The comparison between the iron absorption from Fe(III)-EDTA and ferrous sulfate as iron fortification indicates that the absorption from EDTA is about twice as high than that observed from ferrous sulfate. The data indicates that only 10 to 15 mg of iron as Fe(III)-EDTA as iron fortification would be necessary to prevent iron deficiency anemia in population relying their subsistence of vegetable food only and free of parasitic infection producing blood loss. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 30: 1166-1174, 1977.

Reduced iron and several iron salts have been used in the past as iron fortification, however, not all are suitable for this purpose, in terms of iron absorption. While reduced iron, ferric chloride, and iron glycerophosphate show similar absorption as ferrous sulfate when wheat or maize or milk are used as a vehicle (1-3), ferric orthophosphate, sodium iron pyrophosphate, and ferric ammonium citrate are less well absorbed (2, 4). Recent studies on Fe(III)-EDTA complex have shown similar absorption as ferrous sulfate when sugar is fortified with this salt and administered with beverages or with a meal (4, 5).

This study provides information on iron absorption from increasing doses of Fe(III)-EDTA mixed with maize-soybean dough and administered with two standard meals. The results are compared with the absorption of ferrous sulfate administered in the same conditions.

### Materials and methods

One hundred forty seven peasants from rural areas of Venezuela consented to collaborate with this study. Fifty were males and 97 were females. These subjects were in apparent good health; only a few of them suffered from iron deficiency anemia. Each individual participated in several iron absorption tests. Blood he-

moglobin concentration (6), serum iron concentration (7), and unsaturated iron binding capacity (8) were determined in each case.

### Source of labeled test material

**Foods.** Maize was biosynthetically labeled with radioactive iron according to a method previously described (9).

**Iron salts.** Labeled Fe(III)-EDTA complex was prepared by mixing mole to mole solutions of EDTA disodium and solution of carrier ferric nitrate and tracer amount of labeled ferric chloride. Sodium bicarbonate is then added to the solution adjusting to pH 5. The Fe(III)-EDTA complex formed is precipitated by ethanol, the supernatant is discarded and the precipitate redissolved in water. The EDTA complex is precipitated again with ethanol and washed thrice with ethanol (10, 11).

Ferrous sulfate solution was prepared by mixing labeled ferrous sulfate with a specific activity of 10-15  $\mu\text{Ci}/\mu\text{g}$  Fe for  $^{59}\text{Fe}$  and 35  $\mu\text{Ci}/\mu\text{g}$  Fe for  $^{55}\text{Fe}$  with a given amount of carrier ferrous sulfate.

Iron ascorbate as a reference dose was prepared according to the method previously published (9).

### Preparation of the standard meals

Meal A consists of four vegetable foods and a piece of meat. The amount of each food before cooking is as

<sup>1</sup> From the Departamento de Fisiopatología, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas, Venezuela.

<sup>2</sup> Supported in part by the World Health Organization.

FE(III)-E

follows: 30 g of black beans, 50 g of flour, 35 g of rice, 50 g of plantain, and contains 2.0 mg of meat iron, 5.7 mg of protein, 582 cal, 7.1 g of fat, and 30.9 of protein. The preparation is previously reported and does not contain meat. The amount of iron in the cooking is as follows: 30 g of black beans, 50 g of maize-soybean flour, 50 g of rice, and 50 g of plantain. It contains 5.9 mg of iron, 523 cal, 7.1 g of fat, and 30.9 of protein. Maize-soybean flour contains 2.0 mg of iron. The maize dough was used as a fortification.

### Absorption studies

A meal labeled with radioactive iron was administered in the morning after overnight fasting. The drink was allowed during 3 hr after the administration of the radioactive material. The next day the radioactive meal or iron salt was administered. The subjects were drawn 15 days after the first administration of the radioactive material to determine hematological parameters and radioactivity. The subjects were tested again on the day 30. Approximately 10  $\mu\text{Ci}$  of  $^{59}\text{Fe}$  and 2  $\mu\text{Ci}$  of  $^{55}\text{Fe}$  was used in each test. 10-ml blood samples were prepared for radioactivity counting following the technique of Gross and colleagues (14). Radioactivity was measured in a scintillation spectrometer. Triplicate standards were counted simultaneously. The iron absorption from the meal was calculated from the  $^{59}\text{Fe}$  or  $^{55}\text{Fe}$  in the subjects' blood using an estimation based on sex, weight, and height. The iron absorption was made to determine the total iron absorption.

### Statistical analysis

The mean absorption and standard deviation were calculated from the logarithm of the percentage of iron absorbed and the results were retransformed to recover the original unit. The comparison between the two absorption tests was made by the Student's *t*-test. The different meals calculated by the Student's *t*-test and the comparison between the two absorption tests from the same meal by the Student's *t*-test (16).

### Results

#### Absorption of iron fortification with Fe(III)-EDTA complex

Several doses of iron ascorbate labeled with  $^{59}\text{Fe}$  were mixed with maize-soybean dough biosynthetically labeled with  $^{59}\text{Fe}$  and administered after the administration of meal A. Figure 1 shows the absorption of iron from the dose of 5, 25, and 50 mg administered with meal A.

follows: 30 g of black beans, 50 g of maize-soybean flour, 35 g of rice, 50 g of plantain, and 75 g of meat. It contains 2.0 mg of meat iron, 5.7 mg of vegetable iron, 582 cal, 7.1 g of fat, and 30.9 of protein. Details of the preparation is previously reported (12). Meal B does not contain meat. The amount of each food before cooking is as follows: 30 g of black beans, 50 g of maize-soybean flour, 50 g of rice, and 50 g of plantain. It contains 5.9 mg of iron, 523 cal, 2.3 g of fat, 15.8 g of protein. Maize-soybean flour contains 8% of soybean. The maize dough was used as a vehicle for iron fortification.

#### Absorption studies

A meal labeled with radioactive iron was administered in the morning after overnight fast. No food or drink was allowed during 3 hr after the administration of the radioactive material. The next day another radioactive meal or iron salt was administered. Blood was drawn 15 days after the first administration of labeled material to determine hematological characteristics and radioactivity. The subjects were fed again on the day 15 and 16 with another radioactive material and blood was taken again on the day 30. Approximately 0.7  $\mu$ Ci of  $^{59}$ Fe and 2  $\mu$ Ci of  $^{55}$ Fe was used in each test. Duplicate 10-ml blood samples were prepared for radioactive counting following the technique of Dern and Hart (13, 14). Radioactivity was measured in a liquid scintillation spectrometer. Triplicate standards of the food administered were counted simultaneously with the blood samples. The iron absorption from the foods or iron salts was calculated from the  $^{59}$ Fe or  $^{55}$ Fe activity in the subjects' blood using an estimate of blood volume based on sex, weight, and height (15). No correction was made to determine the total iron utilization.

#### Statistical analysis

The mean absorption and standard error were calculated from the logarithm of the percentage of absorption and the results were retransformed as antilogarithm to recover the original units. The comparison between the two absorption tests performed on the different meals calculated by the Student's *t* test in pair samples and the comparison between two absorption tests from the same meal by the least square method (16).

## Results

### Absorption of iron fortification as Fe(III)-EDTA complex

Several doses of iron as Fe(III)-EDTA labeled with  $^{59}$ Fe were mixed with maize soybean dough biosynthetically labeled with  $^{55}$ Fe and administered after cooking during the administration of meals A and B. Table 1 shows the absorption of iron fortification in the dose of 5, 25, and 50 mg of iron administered with meal A containing four

vegetable foods and a piece of meat. It can be noticed that this iron compound completely exchange with the vegetable iron in the lumen of the gut, so that the mean absorption ratio of extrinsic to intrinsic iron is close to the unit in each test. The calibration of the absorption in each study by multiplying the observed absorption by the ratio of the composite mean absorption from the reference dose of iron ascorbate of all individuals and the mean absorption from the reference dose of iron ascorbate for the given study indicates that the percentage of iron absorption is not reduced when iron fortification is increased from 5 to 50 mg of iron. Thus, the iron absorptions from Fe(III)-EDTA was 4.5% and 4.1% with a dose of 5 and 50 mg of iron, respectively.

Similar dose of iron fortification were tested with the administration of the standard meal B containing no meat. Here again the mean absorption ratio was close to unit in each study and the mean absorption from iron fortification was not reduced when the dose was increased (Table 2).

### Comparison between the absorption from Fe(III)-EDTA and ferrous sulfate as iron fortification

A dose of 25 mg of iron as Fe(III)-EDTA was mixed with a nonlabeled maize dough and administered in the same subject with meal B, meal B + 100 ml of orange juice and meal A (Table 3). There was not significant difference ( $P > 1.10$ ) between the mean absorption from iron fortification administered with meal A and B. The addition of 100 ml of orange juice containing 50 mg of ascorbic acid did not significantly increased the absorption from iron fortification administered with meal B ( $P > 0.20$ ). Similar studies with ferrous sulfate as iron fortification (Table 3, study b) showed similar results; the calibration of studies a and b according to iron ascorbate absorption indicates that the absorption from ferrous sulfate was distinctly lower than that obtained from EDTA. The mean absorption from EDTA was 5.0, 7.1, and 6.8 in the three tests while the mean absorption from ferrous sulfate was 2.1, 1.8, and 3.1, respectively.

methods in nutrition

ortification<sup>1,2</sup>

nts several advantages over the other iron compound exchange completely the characteristics that the absorption that expected from other iron salts. Fe(III)-EDTA and ferrous sulfate as iron about twice as high than that observed mg of iron as Fe(III)-EDTA as iron y anemia in population relying their tion producing blood loss. *Am. J.*

ration (6), serum iron concentration ted iron binding capacity (8) were h case.

est material

was biosynthetically labeled with re- rding to a method previously de-

ed Fe(III)-EDTA complex was pre- mole to mole solutions of EDTA tion of carrier ferric nitrate and beled ferric chloride. Sodium bicar- to the solution adjusting to pH 5. complex formed is precipitated by atant is discarded and the precipi- ater. The EDTA complex is precip- ethanol and washed thrice with

solution was prepared by mixing ate with a specific activity of 10-15 and 35  $\mu$ Ci/ $\mu$ g Fe for  $^{55}$ Fe with a rier ferrous sulfate.

s a reference dose was prepared h method previously published (9).

andard meals

f four vegetable foods and a piece t of each food before cooking is as

rtamento de Fisiopatología, Insti- Investigaciones Científicas, Cara-

rt by the World Health Organiza-

p. 1166-1174. Printed in U.S.A.

TABLE 1  
Absorption from different doses of iron fortification as Fe(III)-EDTA administered during the ingestion of the standard meal A

Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)	Transferrin saturation (%)	Iron absorption (%)		
			Standard meal A		C Iron ascorbate (3mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe
			A Maize <sup>55</sup> Fe	B Fe(III)- EDTA <sup>55</sup> Fe	
<b>A. Fe(III)-EDTA (5 mg Fe)</b>					
1. VV	15.1	44	0.4	0.8	4.7
2. TV	13.9	29	1.1	1.4	14.0
3. CC	14.0	27	1.6	1.6	21.9
4. HB	14.3	27	1.3	1.8	25.7
5. SG	16.0	46	2.1	2.3	27.3
6. LC	14.5	24	2.2	2.7	11.4
7. LC	15.2	44	3.9	4.6	11.9
8. EL	13.3	31	5.4	5.8	40.2
9. VP	15.5	24	4.4	6.0	45.8
10. DC	13.8	17	6.0	6.6	65.7
11. BA	14.7	32	10.0	9.4	35.1
12. PC	14.0	20	14.7	17.1	50.5
13. LEP	15.6	13	27.6	31.3	72.9
Mean	14.6	29	3.4	4.1	25.7
SE of the mean			1.4	1.3	1.2
<b>B. Fe(III)-EDTA (25 mg Fe)</b>					
1. JLA	13.4	17	0.7	0.9	8.0
2. CN	14.9	22	3.0	3.5	5.6
3. CM	12.9	18	4.2	4.9	46.9
4. DL	12.4	22	4.9	5.5	23.6
5. LF	13.4	7	9.9	9.8	11.8
6. FF	13.2	12	11.1	11.8	48.9
7. JM	13.3	24	11.9	13.1	36.0
8. PB	13.1	8	12.9	15.9	54.4
9. EB	10.1	7	13.5	15.5	67.5
Mean	13.0	15	6.0	6.8	25.0
SE of the mean			1.4	1.4	1.4
<b>C. Fe(III)-EDTA (50 mg Fe)</b>					
1. BIS	16.7	15	1.5	1.9	13.2
2. RDS	16.4	26	1.6	1.8	29.7
3. CO	14.5	37	2.5	2.7	13.9
4. CS	12.8	20	3.5	3.1	33.9
5. FG	16.9	40	5.4	5.6	20.7
6. RG	15.0	8	6.4	7.5	66.4
7. JFS	16.4	42	7.8	8.8	68.9
8. JM	15.1	26	10.1	9.7	58.9
9. BV	14.9	23	10.8	11.0	59.8
10. JAP	15.6	16	14.0	15.7	69.5
Mean	15.4	25	4.9	5.3	36.6
SE of the mean			1.3	1.3	1.2

*Dosage effect of iron fortification as ferrous sulfate*

Table 4 shows the absorption of 5 and 50 mg of iron as ferrous sulfate administered

with meal A and B. Apparently the difference between 5 and 50 mg of iron as ferrous sulfate mixed with maize and administered with meal was not enough to produce difference in absorption, so that the mean absorp-

TABLE 2  
Absorption from different doses of iron Fe(III)-EDTA administered during the ingestion of the standard meal B

Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)
<b>A. Fe(III)-EDTA (5 mg Fe)</b>	
1. DM	13.1
2. ADV	13.4
3. MS	13.4
4. OR	12.9
5. FG	12.2
6. OS	13.6
7. MC	12.1
8. ER	16.0
9. EG	12.8
10. GC	11.7
11. JG	11.7
12. AG	12.1
13. JP	10.3
Mean	12.7
SE of the mean	
<b>B. Fe(III)-EDTA (25 mg Fe)</b>	
1. MH	13.6
2. AV	13.6
3. MT	13.4
4. VB	16.0
5. AA	14.0
6. LP	15.4
7. OR	12.2
8. MN	11.2
9. JR	12.2
10. HR	13.1
Mean	13.5
SE of the mean	
<b>C. Fe(III)-EDTA (50 mg Fe)</b>	
1. CB	13.5
2. MP	14.2
3. FG	14.0
4. AC	13.3
5. GV	12.9
6. GB	15.3
7. GA	14.1
8. GB	14.5
9. MH	14.9
10. MAP	13.8
11. MC	17.6
12. JA	14.6
13. AA	10.1
14. MP	13.1
15. LA	12.7
Mean	13.9
SE of the mean	

TABLE 2  
Absorption from different doses of iron fortification as Fe(III)-EDTA administered during the ingestion of the standard meal B

Iron absorption (%)		Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)	Transferrin saturation (%)	Iron absorption (%)		
Standard meal A					Standard meal B		C Iron ascorbate (3 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe
B Fe(III)-EDTA <sup>55</sup> Fe	C Iron ascorbate (3mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe				A Maize <sup>55</sup> Fe	B Fe(III)-EDTA <sup>55</sup> Fe	
A. Fe(III)-EDTA (5 mg Fe)							
0.8	4.7	1. DM	13.1	38	1.2	1.4	13.4
1.4	14.0	2. ADV	13.4	19	1.4	1.9	24.1
1.6	21.9	3. MS	13.4	30	1.7	2.0	13.0
1.8	25.7	4. OR	12.9	15	2.6	3.3	28.9
2.3	27.3	5. FG	12.2	32	2.7	3.0	13.4
2.7	11.4	6. OS	13.6	39	4.0	5.4	11.8
4.6	11.9	7. MC	12.1	35	7.6	9.9	13.2
5.8	40.2	8. ER	16.0	42	8.0	8.7	26.3
6.0	45.8	9. EG	12.8	18	11.4	14.1	49.6
6.6	65.7	10. GC	11.7	15	11.5	13.5	19.0
9.4	35.1	11. JG	11.7	35	11.5	14.3	52.8
17.1	50.5	12. AG	12.1	24	11.6	13.8	26.8
31.3	72.9	13. JP	10.3	7	17.8	20.7	82.6
4.1	25.7	Mean	12.7	27	5.1	6.2	23.7
1.3	1.2	SE of the mean			1.3	1.3	1.2
B. Fe(III)-EDTA (25 mg Fe)							
0.9	8.0	1. MH	13.6	38	2.8	2.9	45.9
3.5	5.6	2. AV	13.6	35	4.1	4.3	50.5
4.9	46.9	3. MT	13.4	24	4.2	3.8	50.4
5.5	23.6	4. VB	16.0	27	4.4	5.0	46.0
9.8	11.8	5. AA	14.0	16	4.6	5.2	48.8
11.8	48.9	6. LP	15.4	15	4.9	4.6	90.1
13.1	36.0	7. OR	12.2	19	7.0	6.4	9.8
15.9	54.4	8. MN	11.2	5	15.1	14.2	75.8
15.5	67.5	9. JR	12.2	14	21.2	21.2	38.5
6.8	25.0	10. HR	13.1	12	24.8	26.1	71.9
1.4	1.4	Mean	13.5	21	7.0	7.0	46.6
		SE of the mean			1.3	1.3	1.2
C. Fe(III)-EDTA (50 mg Fe)							
1.9	13.2	1. CB	13.5	30	1.2	1.1	20.4
1.8	29.7	2. MP	14.2	36	1.5	1.4	10.2
2.7	13.9	3. FG	14.0	26	2.3	2.1	9.1
3.1	33.9	4. AC	13.3	34	2.8	2.8	49.7
5.6	20.7	5. GV	12.9	22	2.9	2.6	4.9
7.5	66.4	6. GB	15.3	35	2.9	2.8	40.8
8.8	68.9	7. GA	14.1	31	3.1	3.1	14.5
9.7	58.9	8. GB	14.5	41	4.3	4.1	46.1
11.0	59.8	9. MH	14.9	31	4.4	4.4	12.8
15.7	69.5	10. MAP	13.8	28	4.5	4.4	47.3
5.3	36.6	11. MC	17.6	31	5.1	4.7	58.8
1.3	1.2	12. JA	14.6	16	8.9	9.7	87.7
		13. AA	10.1	5	9.2	9.4	79.4
		14. MP	13.1	8	15.6	23.7	98.5
		15. LA	12.7	8	17.1	15.9	77.2
		Mean	13.9	25	4.3	4.2	31.0
		SE of the mean			1.2	1.2	1.3

A and B. Apparently the difference between 5 and 50 mg of iron as ferrous was not enough to produce different absorption, so that the mean absorp

TABLE 3  
Comparison between the iron absorption from Fe(III)-EDTA and from ferrous sulfate as iron fortification and administered with different meals

Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)	Transferrin saturation (%)	Iron absorption (%)			
			A Meal B + salt (25 mgFe) <sup>59</sup> Fe	B Meal B + orange juice + salt (25 mgFe) <sup>59</sup> Fe	C Meal A + salt (25 mgFe) <sup>59</sup> Fe	D iron ascorbate <sup>59</sup> Fe
<b>A. Fe(III)-EDTA as iron fortification</b>						
1. CA	14.0	41	1.0		1.5	6.8
2. MR	12.9	10	2.4	5.7	19.9	66.2
3. BN	14.2	29	3.0	4.3	10.9	43.1
4. LG	13.4	23	3.8		9.3	45.8
5. IM	11.7	17	5.1		6.4	21.3
6. EP	15.3	26	5.6	11.4	6.7	47.5
7. AH	12.6	22	6.4		3.8	29.7
8. RH	12.8	28	8.0		3.3	18.8
9. PA	12.6	23	8.1	12.1	12.9	34.2
10. MB	13.7	26	9.2		11.7	38.8
11. ZM	12.1	29	12.6		17.6	62.7
12. PV	14.9	21	13.5		10.2	45.9
13. LO	13.3	12	16.1	12.0	15.2	57.6
14. NZ	10.8	5	18.0	12.6	15.7	48.1
Mean	13.2	22	6.3	8.9	8.5	35.6
SE of the mean			1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
<b>B. Ferrous sulfate as iron fortification</b>						
1. MB	15.6	22	0.2	1.1	0.8	14.0
2. PAS	17.7	26	0.4	1.1	0.3	6.7
3. ET	14.0	40	0.5	1.4	2.8	18.2
4. FM	14.1	24	0.8	0.9	0.2	4.1
5. AA	14.7	20	1.3	0.5	1.6	12.6
6. AH	11.8	14	1.3		4.8	29.1
7. PU	14.8	14	1.4		4.0	21.3
8. NS	9.5	4	1.5		2.9	71.7
9. EA	12.3	8	1.8		2.2	25.8
10. AM	12.5	7	2.2	2.6	12.6	24.0
11. AU	12.6	13	2.7		0.8	13.4
12. CS	11.0	7	3.8		4.8	46.5
13. GM	12.5	31	3.9		5.2	20.8
14. RV	12.0	8	4.0		11.9	66.9
15. NU	15.4	30	4.0		2.4	47.5
16. EM	13.6	15	5.5		3.7	11.4
17. ALM	13.1	20	5.5	9.6	8.5	60.0
18. EU	13.6	14	7.2		4.4	84.6
Mean	13.4	18	1.8	1.5	2.6	23.8
Mean SE of the mean			1.3	1.4	1.3	1.2

tion from 5 and 50 mg of iron was 3.2 and 2.6% with meal A and 2.7 and 2.9% with meal B.

#### Effect of milk on the iron absorption from ferrous sulfate and Fe(III)-EDTA complex

Fourteen subjects were tested for the absorption from 5 mg of iron as ferrous sulfate and Fe(III)-EDTA administered with 200 ml of milk (Table 5). The iron absorption

from EDTA was distinctly higher than that observed from ferrous sulfate in each subject tested and the mean absorption difference was statistically significant ( $P < 0.001$ ).

#### Discussion

The studies on iron absorption carried out in the last 7 years specially those concerned with the interaction of foods and iron salts in

TABLE 4  
Iron absorption from different doses of iron fortification administered during the ingestion of standard meals

Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)	Tr: sa
<b>A. Iron fortification with meal A</b>		
1. SM	14.0	
2. RCV	12.4	
3. SC	8.7	
4. SM	13.4	
5. GG	12.6	
6. AM	9.8	
7. EZ	11.0	
8. SZ	13.6	
9. GM	12.9	
10. ER	10.6	
Mean	11.9	
SE of the mean		
<b>B. Iron fortification with meal B</b>		
1. ZP	15.0	
2. MP	14.6	
3. MTB	15.2	
4. VA	14.9	
5. JB	13.2	
6. JP	13.7	
7. YP	13.4	
8. FP	15.6	
9. CM	13.0	
10. JU	12.6	
11. MT	13.8	
12. MS	18.3	
13. JMP	17.8	
14. IP	14.1	
15. CP	9.9	
16. ER	15.3	
17. OP	14.4	
18. IR	12.5	
19. JJB	15.6	
20. JG	9.9	
21. JC	16.0	
Mean	14.2	
SE of the mean		

the lumen of the gut and the deviation of the extrinsic label method allow precise determination of the amount absorbed from fortified food. It was demonstrated that most of the iron is absorbed either as ferric and ferrous salt or as nonheme iron pool together with egg iron (1, 17-19). They demonstrate that increasing dose of iron up to 60 mg mixed with vegetable

TABLE 4  
Absorption from different doses of iron fortification as ferrous sulfate administered during the ingestion of standard meals

Iron absorption (%)		
B Meal B + orange juice + salt (25 mgFe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	C Meal A + salt (25 mgFe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	D iron ascorbate <sup>55</sup> Fe
	1.5	6.8
5.7	19.9	66.2
4.3	10.9	43.1
	9.3	45.8
	6.4	21.3
11.4	6.7	47.5
	3.8	29.7
	3.3	18.8
12.1	12.9	34.2
	11.7	38.8
	17.6	62.7
	10.2	45.9
12.0	15.2	57.6
12.6	15.7	48.1
8.9	8.5	35.6
1.2	1.2	1.2
	0.8	14.0
1.1	0.3	6.7
1.4	2.8	18.2
0.9	0.2	4.1
0.5	1.6	12.6
	4.8	29.1
	4.0	21.3
	2.9	71.7
	2.2	25.8
2.6	12.6	24.0
	0.8	13.4
	4.8	46.5
	5.2	20.8
	11.9	66.9
	2.4	47.5
	3.7	11.4
9.6	8.5	60.0
	4.4	84.6
1.5	2.6	23.8
1.4	1.3	1.2

Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)	Transferrin saturation (%)	Iron absorption (%)		
			A Meal + ferrous sulfate (5 mgFe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	B Meal + ferrous sulfate (50 mgFe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	C Iron ascorbate (3 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe
<b>A. Iron fortification with meal A</b>					
1. SM	14.0	32	0.8	1.3	26.9
2. RCV	12.4	16	2.0	1.8	44.7
3. SC	8.7	5	2.2	4.0	70.3
4. SM	13.4	28	2.8	3.0	18.5
5. GG	12.6	37	3.4	1.9	26.2
6. AM	9.8	5	4.2	3.0	59.3
7. EZ	11.0	9	4.3	2.1	51.0
8. SZ	13.6	30	5.1	2.3	17.3
9. GM	12.9	15	5.6	5.8	77.6
10. ER	10.6	6	7.1	2.7	68.0
Mean	11.9	18	3.2	2.6	40.3
SE of the mean			1.2	1.1	1.2
<b>B. Iron fortification with meal B</b>					
1. ZP	15.0	39	0.3	0.2	8.7
2. MP	14.6	23	0.4	0.6	18.1
3. MTB	15.2	51	0.6	0.3	8.6
4. VA	14.9	28	0.8	2.6	11.9
5. JB	13.2	9	0.9	4.3	54.9
6. JP	13.7	19	1.0	1.2	57.8
7. YP	13.4	14	1.6	6.4	79.0
8. FP	15.6	37	1.6	1.3	41.5
9. CM	13.0	39	1.7	2.8	28.4
10. JU	12.6	16	2.1	1.0	15.1
11. MT	13.8	24	2.5	4.7	16.4
12. MS	18.3	26	2.5	1.7	21.4
13. JMP	17.8	23	3.0	5.5	65.4
14. IP	14.1	19	5.2	6.9	67.5
15. CP	9.9	8	5.7	14.8	81.9
16. ER	15.3	25	6.2	2.0	9.3
17. OP	14.4	30	9.0	6.3	45.3
18. IR	12.5	17	15.8	5.8	60.6
19. JJB	15.6	25	17.9	9.3	77.2
20. JG	9.9	8	19.3	9.2	58.4
21. JC	16.0	21	20.5	12.6	69.2
Mean	14.2	24	2.7	2.9	32.9
SE of the mean			1.3	1.3	1.2

FA was distinctly higher than that from ferrous sulfate in each sub- and the mean absorption differ- statistically significant ( $P <$

udies on iron absorption carried out 7 years specially those concerned interaction of foods and iron salts in

the lumen of the gut and the development of the extrinsic label method allow a more precise determination of the amount of iron absorbed from fortified food. These studies demonstrated that most of the iron salts either as ferric and ferrous salt enter into the nonheme iron pool together with vegetable and egg iron (1, 17-19). They also demonstrate that increasing dose of iron from 0.1 to 60 mg mixed with vegetable food is ab-

sorbed to the same extent as the native iron (1). According to these results, food vehicles such as wheat, maize, and rice inhibit considerably the absorption of iron added to these foods.

Based on these studies, several students have recently tested other vehicles and several iron salts in order to find out the most suitable iron fortification that could be used in a country or in an area according to their

TABLE 5  
Effect of milk on the iron absorption from ferrous sulfate and Fe(III)-EDTA

Identification	Hemoglobin (g/100 ml)	Transferrin saturation (%)	Iron absorption (%)		
			A Milk (200 ml) + ferrous sulfate (5 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	B Milk (200 ml) + Fe(III)-EDTA (5 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	C Iron ascorbate (3 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe
1. AM	13.6	13	0.6	1.7	10.5
2. AC	15.1	50	0.8	1.4	7.7
3. MC	13.4	29	4.9	7.6	17.1
4. MG	12.9	18	5.4	19.6	18.6
5. OZ	15.4	24	5.6	7.6	10.2
6. CS	12.9	17	7.9	16.2	26.2
7. BC	10.8	4	8.1	22.9	35.2
8. MR	14.9	27	11.4	21.8	37.6
9. CT	15.0	29	11.4	11.8	36.0
10. AC	17.2	39	12.7	13.7	62.0
11. CO	15.6	26	12.7	12.9	20.6
12. RP	11.3	6	16.3	19.3	59.6
13. JR	15.5		17.5	29.1	76.8
14. ED	10.8	6	27.2	33.1	84.7
Mean SE of the mean	13.9	22	7.0 1.3	11.6 1.3	27.8 1.2

food habit and local facilities to enrich the vehicle selected. Common salt fortified with ferric orthophosphate and ascorbic acid has been recommended in countries where maize or rice are the staple food (20). EDTA added to fish sauce has been successfully used in a pilot study for iron fortification in Thailand (21). Sugar as a vehicle does not carry inhibiting iron absorption substances, and maintains ferrous sulfate in the ferrous form for at least a year (22). The absorption studies of fortified sugar administered with beverages indicated that the absorption is several times higher than the absorption from the same fortified sugar mixed with a meal. Either ferrous sulfate or EDTA is suitable to enrich sugar, but while iron from ferrous sulfate is precipitated and poorly absorbed when fortified sugar is added to beverages containing high concentration of tannin, such as tea (23), Fe(III)-EDTA reacts slowly with tea and iron is not precipitated for at least 24 hr (4).

The studies presented here provide further evidence on the characteristics of Fe(III)-EDTA absorption when it is administered as iron fortification. This iron compound seems to exchange completely with

vegetable food iron in the lumen of the gut but with the characteristic that the absorption from both, extrinsic and intrinsic food iron, is higher than that expected from other iron salts used as iron fortification (1). This observation is confirmed comparing the absorption from different dose of iron in the form of ferrous sulfate and Fe(III)-EDTA prepared as iron fortification and administered with the same type of meals (Table 6). These absorption results were taken from those reported in Table 1 to 4 and calibrated according to the absorption from the reference dose of iron ascorbate. The data indicate that the absorption from both iron salts are not reduced when the dose of iron fortification is increased. Furthermore iron absorption from maize fortified with Fe(III)-EDTA is significantly distinct higher than that observed from maize fortified with ferrous sulfate in each instance.

The data also shows that meat in a proportion of 75 g per meal does not increase the absorption of iron fortification even when fortified food plus meal contained 11 mg of iron. This is in accord to previous studies, which demonstrated that more than 50 g of meat are necessary to increase the

TABLE 6  
Iron absorption from maize enriched with ferrous sulfate or Fe(III)-EDTA complex administered with meals

Dose of iron fortification (mg)	Iron absorption (%)	
	Maize enriched with ferrous sulfate (mean)	Maize enriched with Fe(III)-EDTA (mean)
Meal A		
5	2.5	4.9
25	3.4	8.4
50	2.0	7.4
Meal B		
5	2.5	8.1
25	2.3	4.7
50	2.7	5.5
		4.2

absorption of about 4 mg of iron (12, 24).

According to these results the iron fortification that will be necessary for a population that subsists on vegetable food only or with moderate meat intake to prevent iron deficiency anemia can be estimated. For instance, in a population with a daily heme iron consumption of 15 mg, the addition of 15 mg of iron as Fe(III)-EDTA, that is, 5 mg of iron as Fe(III)-EDTA would determine a total daily iron fortification (dietary iron + iron fortification) of about 1 mg of iron in subjects with iron ascorbate below 20% representing subjects with normal iron stores; about 1 mg of iron in subjects with iron ascorbate between 20 and 39%, representing subjects with moderate iron deficiency; and about 4 mg of iron in subjects with iron ascorbate above 39% representing those with marked iron deficiency. The amount of iron fortification used in severe iron deficiency in subjects with iron fortification could be reduced to about 10 mg if such population is free from infection producing blood loss.

The data on EDTA presented here are apparently from studies published by Madsen and Mosen (25) that demonstrated that iron absorption from a standard meal containing 4 mg of iron is reduced to about half when 50 mg of EDTA is

TABLE 6  
Iron absorption from maize enriched with ferrous sulfate or Fe(III)-EDTA complex administered with meals

Dose of iron fortification (mg)	Iron absorption (%)			
	Maize enriched with ferrous sulfate (mean)	Maize enriched with Fe(III)-EDTA (mean)	Composite mean absorption from a reference dose (3 mgFe) (mean)	
<b>Meal A</b>				
5	2.5	4.9	} 31.0	
25	3.4	8.4		
50	2.0	7.4		
		4.5		
<b>Meal B</b>				
5	2.5	8.1		
25	2.3	4.7		
50	2.7	5.5		
		4.2		

absorption of about 4 mg of vegetal iron (12, 24).

According to these results the amount of iron fortification that will be necessary in a population that subsists on vegetable foods only or with moderate meat intake, to prevent iron deficiency anemia can be seen. For instance, in a population with a daily non-heme iron consumption of 15 mg of iron, the addition of 15 mg of iron fortification as EDTA, that is, 5 mg of iron in each meal, would determine a total daily iron utilization (dietary iron + iron fortification) of about 1 mg of iron in subjects with iron ascorbate below 20% representing subjects with normal iron stores; about 1.4 mg in subjects with iron ascorbate absorption between 20 and 39%, representing normal subjects and those with moderate iron deficiency; and about 4 mg of iron in subjects with iron ascorbate absorption above 40%, representing those with marked iron deficiency. The amount of iron absorbed and used in severe iron deficiency is so high that iron fortification could be reduced to about 10 mg if such population is free of parasitic infection producing blood loss.

The data on EDTA presented here differ apparently from studies published by Cook and Monsen (25) that demonstrated the iron absorption from a standard diet containing 4 mg of iron is reduced to about one half when 50 mg of EDTA is added. How-

ever, the experiments were designed differently; while Cook and Monsen added an excess of EDTA in the proportion of 2 moles of EDTA per mole of iron in the diet, in the experiments presented here iron was incorporated into EDTA molecule in the proportion of mole to mole.

Three food vehicles: maize, milk, and sugar, enriched with Fe(III)-EDTA have been already tested for iron absorption. In each instance this salt, as iron fortification, has demonstrated so far, more advantages than that observed from other iron salts, including ferrous sulfate. EDTA is a chelating agent and its use in food technology to prevent oxidative damage of food has been restricted, however, the amount of EDTA necessary for 10 mg of iron fortification, about 60 mg, is comparable to the usual amount added to the diet.

References

- LAYRISSE, M., C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES, J. D. COOK, R. WALKER AND C. A. FINCH. Iron fortification of food. Its measurement by the extrinsic tag method. *Blood* 41: 333, 1973.
- COOK, J., V. MINNICH, C. V. MOORE, A. RASMUSSEN, W. B. BRADLEY AND C. A. FINCH. Absorption of fortification iron in bread. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 26: 861, 1973.
- LAYRISSE, M., C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES, M. RUPHAEL-DIVO, W. JAFFÉ AND J. E. TORRES-SUÁREZ. Iron absorption from skim milk enriched with iron glycerophosphate. *Arch. Latinamer. Nutr.* 23: 145, 1973.
- LAYRISSE, M., C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES AND M. RENZI. Sugar as a vehicle for iron fortification. Further Studies. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 26: 274, 1976.
- VITERI, F. WHO Meeting on Nutritional Anemia. Geneva, 1974.
- CROSBY, W. H., J. L. MUNN AND F. W. FURTH. Standardizing a method for clinical hemoglobinometry. *U. S. Armed Forces M. J.* 5: 693, 1954.
- International Committee for Standardization in Hematology. Proposed Recommendations for measurement of serum iron in human blood. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 56: 543, 1971.
- IZAK, G., AND S. M. LEWIS. Studies on the Standardization of Serum iron and iron binding capacity assays. In: *Modern Concepts in Hematology*. New York: Academic Press, 1972, p. 69.
- LAYRISSE, M., J. D. COOK, C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES, M. ROCHE, I. N. KUHN AND C. A. FINCH. Food iron absorption: a comparison of vegetable and animal foods. *Blood* 33: 430, 1969.
- SAWYER, D. T., AND J. M. MCKINNIE. Properties and infrared spectra of Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid complex. III. Chelates of high valent ions. *J. Am. Clin. Soc.* 82: 4191, 1960.

Iron absorption (%)	
B Milk (200 ml) + Fe(III)- EDTA (5 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe	C Iron ascorbate (3 mg Fe) <sup>55</sup> Fe
1.7	10.5
1.4	7.7
7.6	17.1
19.6	18.6
7.6	10.2
16.2	26.2
22.9	35.2
21.8	37.6
11.8	36.0
13.7	62.0
12.9	20.6
19.3	59.6
29.1	76.8
33.1	84.7
11.6	27.8
1.3	1.2

iron in the lumen of the gut characteristic that the absorption, extrinsic and intrinsic food than that expected from other as iron fortification (1). This confirmed comparing the absorption of different dose of iron in the ferrous sulfate and Fe(III)-EDTA iron fortification and administered same type of meals (Table 6). The results were taken from the data in Table 1 to 4 and calibrated the absorption from the reference iron ascorbate. The data indicated that the absorption from both iron salts was similar when the dose of iron fortification was increased. Furthermore iron absorption from maize fortified with Fe(III)-EDTA was significantly higher than that from maize fortified with ferrous sulfate in each instance.

The data shows that meat in a proportion of one per meal does not increase the absorption of iron fortification even when the food plus meal contained 11 mg of iron. This is in accord to previous studies which demonstrated that more than 10 mg of iron is necessary to increase the

11. FLASCHKA, H. A. EDTA Titrations. Oxford: Pergamon Press, 1964, p. 85.
12. LAYRISSE, M., C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES, M. GONZÁLEZ. Measurement of the total daily dietary absorption by the extrinsic tag model. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 27: 152, 1974.
13. DERN, J. R., AND W. L. HART. Studies with doubly labelled iron. I. Simultaneous liquid scintillation counting isotopes of Fe<sup>55</sup> and Fe<sup>59</sup> as ferrous perchlorate. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* 57: 322, 1961.
14. DERN, J. R., AND W. L. HART. Studies with doubly labelled iron. II. Separation of iron from blood samples and preparation of ferrous perchlorate for liquid scintillation counting. *J. Lab. Clin. Med.* 57: 460, 1961.
15. NADLER, S. B., J. U. HIDALGO AND T. BLOCK. The Tulane table of blood volume in normal men. *Surgery* 51: 224, 1962.
16. SNEDECOR, G. W., AND W. G. COCHRAN. *Statistical Methods* (6th ed.). The Iowa State University Press, p. 91, 1967.
17. COOK, J., M. LAYRISSE, C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES, R. WALKER, E. MONSEN AND C. A. FINCH. Food iron absorption measured by an extrinsic tag. *J. Clin. Invest.* 51: 805, 1972.
18. BJORN-RASMUSSEN, E., L. HALLBERG AND R. B. WALKER. Food iron absorption in man. I. Isotopic exchange between food iron and inorganic salt added to food: Studies on maize, wheat and eggs. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 25: 317, 1972.
19. LAYRISSE, M., AND C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES. Model for measuring dietary absorption of heme iron: test with a complete meal. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 25: 401, 1972.
20. SAYERS, M. H., S. R. LINCH, R. W. CHARLTON, T. H. BOTHWELL, R. B. WALKER AND F. MAYET. The fortification of common salt with ascorbic acid and iron. *Brit. J. Haematol.* 28: 483, 1974.
21. GARBY, L. Iron fortification of fish sauce in Thailand. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* In press.
22. LAYRISSE, M., C. MARTÍNEZ-TORRES, M. RENZI, F. VELEZ AND M. GONZÁLEZ. Sugar as a vehicle for iron fortification. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 129: 8, 1976.
23. DISLER, P. B., S. R. LINCH, R. W. CHARLTON AND T. H. BOTHWELL. Studies on the fortification of cane sugar with iron and ascorbic acid. *Brit. J. Nutr.* 34: 141, 1975.
24. COOK, J. D., AND E. R. MONSEN. Food iron absorption. I. Use of a semisynthetic diet to study absorption of non-heme iron. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 28: 1289, 1975.
25. COOK, J. D., AND E. R. MONSEN. Food iron absorption in man. II. The effect of EDTA on absorption of dietary nonheme iron. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 29: 614, 1976.

## Vitamin A deficiency in American children

L. A. Majía, M.A., R. E. Hodges,  
B. Torún, M.D.

**ABSTRACT** In order to determine the prevalence of iron deficiency anemia in Central America and the Caribbean, studies were conducted in Panama, Colombia and Venezuela. In Panama, a group of 100 children, living between 5 and 15 years of age, had their dietary parameters related to iron deficiency anemia between the ages of 5 and 15 years and plasma retinol. Children in all age groups there were found to have a low saturation of transferrin. Children with an adequate iron status and a normal level, showed a normal level in their serum. In contrast to these findings, a possible cause was suggested. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.*

Deficiency of vitamin A and iron deficiency anemia have been shown to be two of the major nutritional problems in the world's children, not only in developing countries (1-5) but also in highly developed countries (6).

In most of these countries, an inadequate intake of vitamin A appears to be the underlying cause of low plasma levels of retinol. Nutritional anemia, on the other hand, has been traditionally associated with deficiencies of iron, folate, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, and vitamin B<sub>6</sub>. It has also been thought that protein deficiency plays an important role in the pathogenesis of iron deficiency. The roles of vitamin E, vitamin B<sub>9</sub>, and other microelements such as zinc and copper have not been fully studied in these countries, thus there is not enough evidence to consider them of primary importance.

Recent studies in humans and experimental animals by Hodges et al. (7) have demonstrated an apparent relationship between vitamin A deficiency and iron deficiency.